

The zenfmt Python Library: API and Implementation

STATE	INTENDED STATUS
committed	Committed
CREATED	AUTHORS
2026-08-08	Vikrant Rathore
	Ronak Rathore (assistance)
LAST UPDATED	
2026-08-08	
DISCUSSION	
The implemented Python API, native boundary, uv workflow, packaging, benchmark, and release contract	
LABELS	
python, api, packaging, security	

Status of This Memo

This document is an internal Zen discussion record authored in Typst and tracked in git. It intentionally follows RFC-style structure so the design scope, rationale, trade-offs, and operational constraints remain explicit. Documents that still use the placeholder number XXXXX are provisional drafts. Numbered ZDS documents are part of the project record.

Contents

Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Why a converter needs written records	1
Terminology and Scope	1
Discussion Lifecycle	1
Local Workflow Diagram	1
States	1
State Transition Diagram	1
Transition Detail	1
Authoring Rules	1
Format Records	1
Typst Project Layout	1
Build Integration	1

Number Assignment and CI	1
Numbering State Diagram	1
Security Considerations	1
References	1
1. Abstract	20
2. Introduction	21
2.1. Why a converter needs written records	21
3. Terminology and Scope	21
4. Discussion Lifecycle	21
4.1. Local Workflow Diagram	22
5. States	22
5.1. State Transition Diagram	22
5.2. Transition Detail	23
6. Authoring Rules	23
6.1. Format Records	24
7. Typst Project Layout	24
8. Build Integration	24
9. Number Assignment and CI	25
9.1. Numbering State Diagram	26
10. Security Considerations	26
11. References	26
Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Relationship to other records	1
Terminology and Scope	1
Problem Statement	1
Goals and Non-Goals	1
Goals	1
Non-Goals	1
Design Overview	1
The Document AST	1
Shape: a Zig-native document model	1
Storage: flat, preorder, struct-of-arrays	1
Attributes	1
The text pool and side tables	1
Invariants	1
A worked example	1
Why the tree is a tree	1
The Builder	1
Traversal and Rewriting Algorithms	1
Bounded, non-recursive walking	1
Skipping and scanning	1
The rebuild transform, with subtree sharing	1
Complexity summary	1
Staged parsing and streaming rendering	1
Public API and Developer Experience	1
Plugin authoring surface	1
Filters	1
The build.zig analogy, taken literally	1

The filter contract	1
Filters that ship	1
The Plugin Contract	1
Readers	1
Writers	1
The Registry and the Static Router	1
One table	1
Dispatching a runtime pair into a comptime matrix	1
Format taxonomy and roadmap	1
Format detection	1
Adjacent Artifact Manifest	1
Version 1 envelope	1
Loading and carrying plugin data	1
Commit and stream behavior	1
Memory Model	1
Diagnostics and Error Messages	1
The report	1
What it looks like	1
The lossiness tiers	1
Reading the Office Formats	1
The container: OOXML and ODF are ZIP archives	1
The XML layer	1
DOCX	1
Numbering, the hard case	1
Deliberate omissions	1
The other formats	1
The Markdown Writer	1
Repository and Build Layout	1
Command-Line Interface	1
Coding Standard	1
Testing Strategy	1
Security Considerations	1
Operational Considerations	1
Alternatives Considered	1
Decisions Clarified by Review	1
Open Questions	1
The cost of Zig-only filters	1
Image bytes	1
Table alignment source	1
Streaming for very large inputs	1
Filter ordering and conflict	1
Encoding detection	1
Delivery Plan	1
References	1
12. Abstract	20
13. Introduction	21
13.1. Relationship to other records	22
14. Terminology and Scope	22
15. Problem Statement	22

16. Goals and Non-Goals	23
16.1. Goals	23
16.2. Non-Goals	24
17. Design Overview	24
18. The Document AST	25
18.1. Shape: a Zig-native document model	25
18.2. Storage: flat, preorder, struct-of-arrays	27
18.3. Attributes	29
18.4. The text pool and side tables	30
18.5. Invariants	31
18.6. A worked example	32
18.7. Why the tree is a tree	33
18.8. The Builder	33
19. Traversal and Rewriting Algorithms	34
19.1. Bounded, non-recursive walking	34
19.2. Skipping and scanning	34
19.3. The rebuild transform, with subtree sharing	34
19.4. Complexity summary	36
19.5. Staged parsing and streaming rendering	36
20. Public API and Developer Experience	37
20.1. Plugin authoring surface	38
21. Filters	39
21.1. The build.zig analogy, taken literally	39
21.2. The filter contract	40
21.3. Filters that ship	41
22. The Plugin Contract	41
22.1. Readers	41
22.2. Writers	42
23. The Registry and the Static Router	43
23.1. One table	43
23.2. Dispatching a runtime pair into a comptime matrix	44
23.3. Format taxonomy and roadmap	45
23.4. Format detection	45
24. Adjacent Artifact Manifest	45
24.1. Version 1 envelope	45
24.2. Loading and carrying plugin data	46
24.3. Commit and stream behavior	47
25. Memory Model	47
26. Diagnostics and Error Messages	48
26.1. The report	48
26.2. What it looks like	50
26.3. The lossiness tiers	52
27. Reading the Office Formats	53
27.1. The container: OOXML and ODF are ZIP archives	53
27.2. The XML layer	53
27.3. DOCX	53
27.3.1. Numbering, the hard case	59
27.3.2. Deliberate omissions	59
27.4. The other formats	59

28. The Markdown Writer	61
29. Repository and Build Layout	62
30. Command-Line Interface	63
31. Coding Standard	64
32. Testing Strategy	65
33. Security Considerations	66
34. Operational Considerations	67
35. Alternatives Considered	67
36. Decisions Clarified by Review	69
37. Open Questions	69
37.1. The cost of Zig-only filters	69
37.2. Image bytes	69
37.3. Table alignment source	69
37.4. Streaming for very large inputs	69
37.5. Filter ordering and conflict	70
37.6. Encoding detection	70
38. Delivery Plan	70
39. References	71
Abstract	1
Scope	1
Mapping	1
Deliberate omissions	1
Round-trip expectations	1
Security	1
References	1
40. Abstract	20
41. Scope	20
42. Mapping	21
43. Deliberate omissions	23
44. Round-trip expectations	23
45. Security	23
46. References	24
Abstract	1
Mapping	1
Deliberate omissions	1
Round-trip expectations	1
Security	1
References	1
47. Abstract	20
48. Mapping	21
49. Deliberate omissions	22
50. Round-trip expectations	22
51. Security	22
52. References	22
Abstract	1
Mapping	1
Deliberate omissions	1
Round-trip expectations	1
Security	1

References	1
53. Abstract	20
54. Mapping	21
55. Deliberate omissions	22
56. Round-trip expectations	22
57. Security	22
58. References	22
Abstract	1
Mapping	1
Deliberate omissions	1
Round-trip expectations	1
Security	1
References	1
59. Abstract	20
60. Mapping	21
61. Deliberate omissions	22
62. Round-trip expectations	23
63. Security	23
64. References	23
Abstract	1
Mapping	1
Deliberate omissions	1
Round-trip expectations	1
Security	1
References	1
65. Abstract	20
66. Mapping	21
67. Deliberate omissions	22
68. Round-trip expectations	23
69. Security	23
70. References	23
Abstract	1
Mapping	1
Deliberate omissions	1
Round-trip expectations	1
Security	1
References	1
71. Abstract	20
72. Mapping	21
73. Deliberate omissions	23
74. Round-trip expectations	23
75. Security	23
76. References	23
Abstract	1
Mapping	1
Deliberate omissions	1
Round-trip expectations	1
Security	1
References	1

77. Abstract	20
78. Mapping	21
79. Deliberate omissions	22
80. Round-trip expectations	23
81. Security	23
82. References	23
Abstract	1
Scope	1
Mapping	1
Deliberate omissions	1
Round-trip expectations	1
Security Considerations	1
References	1
83. Abstract	20
84. Scope	21
85. Mapping	21
86. Deliberate omissions	21
87. Round-trip expectations	22
88. Security Considerations	22
89. References	22
Abstract	1
Scope	1
Mapping	1
Layout facets	1
Deliberate omissions	1
Round-trip expectations	1
Security	1
References	1
90. Abstract	20
91. Scope	21
92. Mapping	21
92.1. Layout facets	31
93. Deliberate omissions	31
94. Round-trip expectations	36
95. Security	36
96. References	37
Abstract	1
Scope	1
Mapping	1
DOC	1
XLS and XLSB	1
PPT	1
Deliberate omissions	1
Round-trip expectations	1
Security	1
References	1
97. Abstract	20
98. Scope	21
99. Mapping	21

99.1. DOC	21
99.2. XLS and XLSB	22
99.3. PPT	23
100. Deliberate omissions	23
101. Round-trip expectations	26
102. Security	26
103. References	26
Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Relationship to other records	1
The triggering proposal	1
Terminology and Scope	1
A Formal Model of Lossy Conversion	1
Documents and observations	1
The five outcomes of conversion	1
Why lossy alternatives cannot be equalities	1
Problem Statement	1
Goals and Non-Goals	1
Goals	1
Non-Goals	1
Design Overview	1
The Semantic Kernel	1
What stays, and why it stays	1
What changes: one schema, actually one	1
Entities: identity only where identity is needed	1
Sparse Facets	1
The catalog	1
One coordinate system	1
The facet axiom	1
Cost model	1
Extension Nodes	1
Writer Lowering	1
Capabilities, declared at compile time	1
The choice DAG	1
The cost order	1
The planner and its guarantees	1
Strict mode and refusal	1
Normalization, Not Saturation	1
Worked Mappings	1
Core Contract Repairs	1
Limits	1
Security Considerations	1
Operational Considerations	1
Implementation plan: the clean break	1
Acceptance gates	1
Alternatives Considered	1
Open Questions	1
References	1
104. Abstract	20

105. Introduction	21
105.1. Relationship to other records	21
105.2. The triggering proposal	22
106. Terminology and Scope	22
107. A Formal Model of Lossy Conversion	22
107.1. Documents and observations	23
107.2. The five outcomes of conversion	23
107.3. Why lossy alternatives cannot be equalities	24
108. Problem Statement	25
109. Goals and Non-Goals	26
109.1. Goals	26
109.2. Non-Goals	27
110. Design Overview	27
111. The Semantic Kernel	27
111.1. What stays, and why it stays	27
111.2. What changes: one schema, actually one	28
111.3. Entities: identity only where identity is needed	29
112. Sparse Facets	29
112.1. The catalog	29
112.2. One coordinate system	30
112.3. The facet axiom	31
112.4. Cost model	31
113. Extension Nodes	31
114. Writer Lowering	32
114.1. Capabilities, declared at compile time	32
114.2. The choice DAG	32
114.3. The cost order	33
114.4. The planner and its guarantees	33
114.5. Strict mode and refusal	34
115. Normalization, Not Saturation	35
116. Worked Mappings	35
117. Core Contract Repairs	36
118. Limits	37
119. Security Considerations	38
120. Operational Considerations	39
120.1. Implementation plan: the clean break	39
120.2. Acceptance gates	40
121. Alternatives Considered	40
122. Open Questions	41
123. References	42
Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Terminology and Scope	1
Normative implementation contract	1
Problem Statement	1
Goals and Non-Goals	1
Goals	1
Non-Goals	1
Implementation Architecture	1

End-to-end invariants	1
Public Python API	1
Package identity and imports	1
The conversion call	1
Source semantics	1
Destination semantics	1
Reusable policy with Converter	1
Formats and capability discovery	1
Limits and strictness	1
Successful result	1
Manifest model	1
Reports and exceptions	1
Elm-style Python error contract	1
Documentation and compatibility	1
Native Boundary	1
Why a versioned C ABI loaded by ctypes	1
ABI shape	1
Memory artifact ensemble	1
Loading and version checks	1
Concurrency and process behavior	1
Project and Build Implementation	1
Repository layout	1
Division of tool authority	1
Root build steps	1
Repository integration obligations	1
Python configuration	1
Packaging and Release	1
One release version	1
Wheels	1
Source distribution	1
PyPI publication	1
Testing and Quality Gates	1
Python unit tests	1
Native and integration tests	1
Installed-artifact tests	1
Benchmarking the installed wheel	1
Benchmark profiles	1
Measurement rules	1
Correctness before timing	1
Result artifacts and reporting	1
Acceptance gates	1
Security Considerations	1
Threat model	1
Input authority	1
Resource exhaustion and copies	1
Native library loading and supply chain	1
Filesystem output	1
In-process execution	1
Operational Considerations	1

Delivery Plan	1
Phase 1: native contract	1
Phase 2: Python surface	1
Phase 3: packaging and benchmark	1
Phase 4: publication	1
Alternatives Considered	1
Shelling out to the CLI	1
A CPython extension using the limited API	1
cffi	1
A pure-Python reimplementaion	1
A remote service client	1
The uv build backend	1
The repository root as the uv project root	1
Wheel-only publication	1
Returning status instead of raising	1
Mutable global configuration	1
Open Questions	1
Acknowledgements	1
References	1
124. Abstract	20
125. Introduction	21
126. Terminology and Scope	22
126.1. Normative implementation contract	23
127. Problem Statement	23
128. Goals and Non-Goals	24
128.1. Goals	24
128.2. Non-Goals	25
129. Implementation Architecture	25
129.1. End-to-end invariants	26
130. Public Python API	26
130.1. Package identity and imports	26
130.2. The conversion call	27
130.3. Source semantics	28
130.4. Destination semantics	28
130.5. Reusable policy with Converter	29
130.6. Formats and capability discovery	29
130.7. Limits and strictness	30
130.8. Successful result	30
130.9. Manifest model	31
130.10. Reports and exceptions	31
130.11. Elm-style Python error contract	31
130.12. Documentation and compatibility	33
131. Native Boundary	33
131.1. Why a versioned C ABI loaded by ctypes	33
131.2. ABI shape	33
131.3. Memory artifact ensemble	34
131.4. Loading and version checks	34
131.5. Concurrency and process behavior	35
132. Project and Build Implementation	35

132.1. Repository layout	35
132.2. Division of tool authority	36
132.3. Root build steps	36
132.4. Repository integration obligations	37
132.5. Python configuration	37
133. Packaging and Release	38
133.1. One release version	38
133.2. Wheels	38
133.3. Source distribution	39
133.4. PyPI publication	39
134. Testing and Quality Gates	40
134.1. Python unit tests	40
134.2. Native and integration tests	40
134.3. Installed-artifact tests	41
134.4. Benchmarking the installed wheel	41
134.4.1. Benchmark profiles	41
134.4.2. Measurement rules	42
134.4.3. Correctness before timing	43
134.4.4. Result artifacts and reporting	43
134.5. Acceptance gates	44
135. Security Considerations	44
135.1. Threat model	44
135.2. Input authority	44
135.3. Resource exhaustion and copies	44
135.4. Native library loading and supply chain	45
135.5. Filesystem output	45
135.6. In-process execution	45
136. Operational Considerations	45
137. Delivery Plan	46
137.1. Phase 1: native contract	46
137.2. Phase 2: Python surface	46
137.3. Phase 3: packaging and benchmark	46
137.4. Phase 4: publication	47
138. Alternatives Considered	47
138.1. Shelling out to the CLI	47
138.2. A CPython extension using the limited API	47
138.3. cffi	47
138.4. A pure-Python reimplementation	47
138.5. A remote service client	47
138.6. The uv build backend	47
138.7. The repository root as the uv project root	47
138.8. Wheel-only publication	48
138.9. Returning status instead of raising	48
138.10. Mutable global configuration	48
139. Open Questions	48
140. Acknowledgements	48
141. References	48
Abstract	1
Introduction	1

Relationship to existing records	1
Normative language and completion	1
Terminology and Scope	1
Goals and Design Principles	1
Product goals	1
System 1: recognition and action	1
System 2: inspection and understanding	1
Help is part of the interaction	1
Original visual language	1
Design Overview	1
Deliverable contract	1
Zig WebAssembly Implementation	1
Target decision	1
32-bit portability	1
Engine separation required for WASM	1
Low-level ABI	1
Browser profile and memory limits	1
Determinism and artifact parity	1
Public Browser API and Worker Model	1
Distribution shape	1
Ergonomic API	1
State machine	1
Elm-style browser diagnostics	1
Project Site Information Architecture	1
Stable routes	1
Language selection and translated books	1
Homepage content order	1
Download experience	1
Download page wireframe	1
Desktop homepage wireframe	1
Documentation wireframe	1
Mobile wireframe	1
Converter Interaction Specification	1
Input window	1
Output window	1
Progress, status, and focus	1
Responsive behavior	1
Book and ZDS as HTML Documentation	1
One source, two reading modes	1
Sphinx-quality documentation ergonomics	1
Navigation and contextual linking	1
Search	1
PDF publication	1
Benchmark Dashboard	1
What the front page may claim	1
Native lens	1
Browser lens	1
Correctness before timing	1
Result schema and generated presentation	1

Security and Privacy	1
Threat model	1
Capability minimization	1
Content handling	1
Browser isolation and policy	1
Resource exhaustion and recovery	1
Accessibility and Human Interface Gates	1
Build and Repository Integration	1
Proposed repository shape	1
Division of tool authority	1
Root build steps	1
Static assembly	1
Testing and Quality Gates	1
WASM tests	1
Browser coverage	1
Site and documentation tests	1
Performance budgets	1
Release acceptance matrix	1
GitHub Pages and Release 0.2.0	1
Pages workflow	1
Unified release artifacts	1
Operational Considerations	1
Delivery Plan	1
Phase 0: toolchain determinism	1
Phase 1: pure WASM boundary	1
Phase 2: browser API and playground	1
Phase 3: project site and documentation	1
Phase 4: benchmark and release	1
Alternatives Considered	1
WASI in the browser	1
Emscripten or another compiler toolchain	1
Main-thread conversion	1
WebAssembly threads	1
A JavaScript framework and npm build	1
A single-page application	1
Reauthoring the book in Sphinx or Markdown	1
Rendering converted Markdown as HTML	1
Opening output in a browser popup	1
Running competitor benchmarks in every visitor's browser	1
One blended native/WASM headline	1
Third-party analytics and hosted fonts	1
Publishing only the WASM file	1
Known Gaps Outside This Release	1
Open Questions	1
Acknowledgements	1
References	1
142. Abstract	20
143. Introduction	21
143.1. Relationship to existing records	22

143.2. Normative language and completion	22
144. Terminology and Scope	22
145. Goals and Design Principles	23
145.1. Product goals	23
145.2. System 1: recognition and action	24
145.3. System 2: inspection and understanding	24
145.4. Help is part of the interaction	24
145.5. Original visual language	25
146. Design Overview	25
146.1. Deliverable contract	26
147. Zig WebAssembly Implementation	26
147.1. Target decision	26
147.2. 32-bit portability	27
147.3. Engine separation required for WASM	27
147.4. Low-level ABI	27
147.5. Browser profile and memory limits	29
147.6. Determinism and artifact parity	30
148. Public Browser API and Worker Model	31
148.1. Distribution shape	31
148.2. Ergonomic API	31
148.3. State machine	32
148.4. Elm-style browser diagnostics	33
149. Project Site Information Architecture	33
149.1. Stable routes	33
149.2. Language selection and translated books	34
149.3. Homepage content order	35
149.4. Download experience	35
149.5. Download page wireframe	37
149.6. Desktop homepage wireframe	38
149.7. Documentation wireframe	39
149.8. Mobile wireframe	40
150. Converter Interaction Specification	40
150.1. Input window	40
150.2. Output window	40
150.3. Progress, status, and focus	41
150.4. Responsive behavior	41
151. Book and ZDS as HTML Documentation	41
151.1. One source, two reading modes	41
151.2. Sphinx-quality documentation ergonomics	42
151.3. Navigation and contextual linking	43
151.4. Search	43
151.5. PDF publication	43
152. Benchmark Dashboard	43
152.1. What the front page may claim	43
152.2. Native lens	44
152.3. Browser lens	44
152.4. Correctness before timing	44
152.5. Result schema and generated presentation	45
153. Security and Privacy	45

153.1. Threat model	45
153.2. Capability minimization	46
153.3. Content handling	46
153.4. Browser isolation and policy	46
153.5. Resource exhaustion and recovery	47
154. Accessibility and Human Interface Gates	47
155. Build and Repository Integration	48
155.1. Proposed repository shape	48
155.2. Division of tool authority	48
155.3. Root build steps	49
155.4. Static assembly	50
156. Testing and Quality Gates	50
156.1. WASM tests	50
156.2. Browser coverage	51
156.3. Site and documentation tests	51
156.4. Performance budgets	51
156.5. Release acceptance matrix	52
157. GitHub Pages and Release 0.2.0	53
157.1. Pages workflow	53
157.2. Unified release artifacts	53
158. Operational Considerations	54
159. Delivery Plan	54
159.1. Phase 0: toolchain determinism	54
159.2. Phase 1: pure WASM boundary	54
159.3. Phase 2: browser API and playground	55
159.4. Phase 3: project site and documentation	55
159.5. Phase 4: benchmark and release	55
160. Alternatives Considered	55
160.1. WASI in the browser	55
160.2. Emscripten or another compiler toolchain	55
160.3. Main-thread conversion	55
160.4. WebAssembly threads	56
160.5. A JavaScript framework and npm build	56
160.6. A single-page application	56
160.7. Reauthoring the book in Sphinx or Markdown	56
160.8. Rendering converted Markdown as HTML	56
160.9. Opening output in a browser popup	56
160.10. Running competitor benchmarks in every visitor's browser	56
160.11. One blended native/WASM headline	56
160.12. Third-party analytics and hosted fonts	56
160.13. Publishing only the WASM file	56
161. Known Gaps Outside This Release	57
162. Open Questions	57
163. Acknowledgements	57
164. References	57
Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Relationship to existing records	1
Normative language and completion	1

Terminology and Scope	1
Deliverable contract	1
Problem Statement	1
Goals and Non-Goals	1
Goals	1
Non-Goals	1
Design Overview	1
Modes	1
The zencli Library	1
Shape	1
The subcommand rule	1
The zenserve Library	1
HTTP kernel	1
Router and middleware	1
Observability core	1
Authentication core	1
The REST and Streaming API	1
Route table	1
The conversion request	1
Tika compatibility boundary	1
Streaming semantics	1
Server report codes	1
Storage on zaxonlite	1
Dependency and lifecycle	1
Schema	1
Bootstrap	1
Observability Specification	1
Log events	1
Metric catalog	1
The Web Interface	1
The autodoc pattern	1
The ui module	1
The command protocol	1
daisyUI without a framework	1
JavaScript requirement	1
Pages	1
Converter wireframe	1
User management wireframes	1
Session security in the browser	1
The CLI Surface	1
Concurrency and Resource Model	1
connection slots	1
Project and Build Implementation	1
Repository layout	1
Module graph	1
Root build steps	1
Integration obligations	1
Self contained distribution	1
Security Considerations	1

Threat model	1
Input and process containment	1
Credential handling	1
Transport	1
Denial of service	1
Storage	1
Supply chain	1
Testing and Quality Gates	1
Unit	1
End-to-end (loopback)	1
Interface and release gates	1
Benchmark Specification	1
One corpus and one provenance contract	1
Native converter lens with Docling	1
Server lens against Apache Tika Server	1
Correctness before timing	1
Result artifacts and gates	1
Operational Considerations	1
Delivery Plan	1
Phase 1: kernels	1
Phase 2: interface	1
Phase 3: secure mode	1
Phase 4: polish and release	1
Alternatives Considered	1
A third-party Zig HTTP framework	1
An event loop instead of threads	1
A supervised conversion process pool	1
In-process TLS	1
JWTs instead of opaque tokens	1
SQLite directly (or flat files) instead of zaxonlite	1
A configuration file	1
A separate zenfmt-server binary	1
Server-rendered HTML with htmx	1
A SPA framework interface	1
Reusing the ZDS 0015 playground wasm as the interface	1
Compiling the interface wasm per request, as zig std does	1
A live administration event channel	1
Storing conversion history	1
An asynchronous job API for large documents	1
Resolved Questions	1
Acknowledgements	1
References	1
165. Abstract	20
166. Introduction	21
166.1. Relationship to existing records	22
166.2. Normative language and completion	22
167. Terminology and Scope	22
167.1. Deliverable contract	23
168. Problem Statement	24

169. Goals and Non-Goals	24
169.1. Goals	24
169.2. Non-Goals	25
170. Design Overview	25
170.1. Modes	26
171. The zencli Library	27
171.1. Shape	27
171.2. The subcommand rule	27
172. The zenserve Library	27
172.1. HTTP kernel	27
172.2. Router and middleware	28
172.3. Observability core	29
172.4. Authentication core	29
173. The REST and Streaming API	30
173.1. Route table	30
173.2. The conversion request	31
173.3. Tika compatibility boundary	32
173.4. Streaming semantics	32
173.5. Server report codes	32
174. Storage on zaxonlite	34
174.1. Dependency and lifecycle	34
174.2. Schema	34
174.3. Bootstrap	35
175. Observability Specification	36
175.1. Log events	36
175.2. Metric catalog	36
176. The Web Interface	36
176.1. The autodoc pattern	36
176.2. The ui module	37
176.3. The command protocol	38
176.4. daisyUI without a framework	38
176.5. JavaScript requirement	39
176.6. Pages	39
176.7. Converter wireframe	40
176.8. User management wireframes	40
176.9. Session security in the browser	41
177. The CLI Surface	41
178. Concurrency and Resource Model	42
179. connection slots	42
180. Project and Build Implementation	43
180.1. Repository layout	43
180.2. Module graph	43
180.3. Root build steps	44
180.4. Integration obligations	45
180.5. Self contained distribution	45
181. Security Considerations	46
181.1. Threat model	46
181.2. Input and process containment	46
181.3. Credential handling	46

181.4. Transport	46
181.5. Denial of service	47
181.6. Storage	47
181.7. Supply chain	47
182. Testing and Quality Gates	47
182.1. Unit	47
182.2. End-to-end (loopback)	47
182.3. Interface and release gates	48
183. Benchmark Specification	48
183.1. One corpus and one provenance contract	48
183.2. Native converter lens with Docling	48
183.3. Server lens against Apache Tika Server	49
183.4. Correctness before timing	50
183.5. Result artifacts and gates	50
184. Operational Considerations	50
185. Delivery Plan	51
185.1. Phase 1: kernels	51
185.2. Phase 2: interface	51
185.3. Phase 3: secure mode	51
185.4. Phase 4: polish and release	51
186. Alternatives Considered	51
186.1. A third-party Zig HTTP framework	51
186.2. An event loop instead of threads	51
186.3. A supervised conversion process pool	52
186.4. In-process TLS	52
186.5. JWTs instead of opaque tokens	52
186.6. SQLite directly (or flat files) instead of zaxonlite	52
186.7. A configuration file	52
186.8. A separate zenfmt-server binary	52
186.9. Server-rendered HTML with htmx	52
186.10. A SPA framework interface	53
186.11. Reusing the ZDS 0015 playground wasm as the interface	53
186.12. Compiling the interface wasm per request, as zig std does	53
186.13. A live administration event channel	53
186.14. Storing conversion history	53
186.15. An asynchronous job API for large documents	53
187. Resolved Questions	53
188. Acknowledgements	54
189. References	54

124. Abstract

zenfmt has a carefully bounded Zig conversion engine and command-line tool, but Python applications cannot yet use that engine through a native, structured API. Calling the CLI through subprocess discards type information, requires temporary-file conventions for in-memory data, makes error handling depend on process behavior, and cannot provide a Requests-quality developer experience.

This record specifies the zenfmt Python distribution and import package: a small, fully typed Python layer over a versioned C ABI implemented by a Zig bridge and loaded with Python's standard-library ctypes module. The common operation is one `zenfmt.convert(...)` call. It accepts paths, bytes-like objects, and binary readers; returns an immutable conversion result containing artifact bytes, resources, the canonical manifest, and structured reports; and raises a compact exception hierarchy on failure. A reusable immutable Converter carries policy such as resource limits and strictness without introducing global configuration.

The Python project lives in this monorepo, uses uv for Python environment, dependency, lock, build, and publish workflows, Hatchling as the PEP 517 backend needed for platform wheels, Ruff for linting and formatting, and pytest for tests. The root zig build graph remains the repository's orchestration layer and owns native compilation. Releases publish prebuilt wheels and a buildable source distribution to PyPI under the same version as the Zig release; the first public release is version 0.1.0, shared by the engine, CLI, native bridge, and Python distribution.

This record is the normative implementation blueprint for that library. Its scope includes the engine changes, native bridge, Python package, root build integration, tests, documentation, platform artifacts, and release workflow needed to deliver it. The record entered discussion before any of those implementations existed; that staging fact does not place implementation outside the record's scope. Once accepted, the delivery phases and acceptance gates below define the work required to move it to committed.

125. Introduction

Python is a natural embedding environment for document conversion: web services receive uploads, data pipelines normalize corpora, notebooks inspect results, and test suites need deterministic fixture conversion. Those callers should not have to understand the CLI's argument grammar, parse terminal text, or discover the adjacent-manifest convention before completing their first conversion.

The target quality bar is the best part of libraries such as Requests, Flask, and Stripe's Python library:

- the shortest call expresses the common operation;
- behavior is unsurprising to a Python developer;
- advanced policy is discoverable through named, typed objects;
- errors preserve stable machine data and also read well in a traceback;
- defaults are safe, deterministic, and free of ambient configuration;
- import-time behavior is quiet and cheap;
- documentation and type information ship with the package.

This surface does not replace the Zig API. It adapts the default zenfmt bundle for Python while preserving the engine's format detection, lowering, strictness, manifest, report, resource-limit, and atomic-publication contracts. ZDS 0002 remains authoritative for the engine and ZDS 0013 remains authoritative for the layered IR and writer lowering. When this record and those records disagree about conversion semantics, the engine records win; this record decides how Python represents them.

The word **universal** describes the API shape, not a false claim that every possible document format is already implemented. The Python library exposes every reader and writer in the bundled zenfmt release and discovers that set from native capability metadata. Adding a format to the default Zig bundle makes it available through the same Python call without adding another format-specific Python function.

126. Terminology and Scope

- **distribution**: the project installed from PyPI, named zenfmt;
- **import package**: the Python package imported with `import zenfmt`;
- **Python layer**: public Python objects plus private validation, marshalling, model, exception, and native-loading modules;
- **native bridge**: a small Zig shared library exposing a versioned C ABI over the default zenfmt bundle;
- **engine**: the Zig conversion engine defined by ZDS 0002 and ZDS 0013;
- **memory conversion**: a conversion whose artifact and embedded resources are returned as Python-owned bytes;
- **path conversion**: a conversion published atomically to an explicit output path with its manifest and embedded resources;
- **report**: zenfmt’s structured diagnostic value, whether note, warning, or error;
- **manifest**: canonical JSON carrying provenance, reports, document metadata, facets, media, and plugin preservation data;
- **capability metadata**: native, machine-readable descriptions of the readers, writers, extensions, and defaults compiled into this release;
- **source distribution**: the PyPI archive containing both the Python source and the Zig sources needed to build the native bridge;
- **wheel**: a platform-tagged Python binary distribution containing the Python layer and one native bridge built for that platform.

In scope:

- implementing the complete first release described by this record;
- the engine additions required for bounded, complete in-memory publication;
- the versioned native bridge and its ABI contract;
- the installable zenfmt Python package and public API;
- the first public Python conversion API and its compatibility rules;
- input, output, result, report, manifest, resource, limit, and error models;
- the Python-to-Zig boundary and ownership model;
- Python project layout and root zig build integration;
- uv, Hatchling, Ruff, and pytest workflows;
- automated native, Python, integration, wheel, and source-distribution tests;
- platform-wheel, source-distribution, and PyPI release requirements;
- user documentation and public API reference material;
- the protected PyPI publication workflow and optional TestPyPI rehearsal;
- security, concurrency, testing, and support policy.

Out of scope:

- exposing the document IR for Python mutation;
- Python-authored readers, writers, or filters;
- calling Python from Zig;
- a hosted conversion service or network client;
- asynchronous conversion, cancellation, or progress callbacks;
- process sandboxing;
- changing any source-format mapping or writer lowering decision.

126.1. Normative implementation contract

Requirements expressed with **must** or **required**, together with declarative contract statements such as “the loader verifies,” are binding for the first release. **May** identifies a permitted choice, and **future** identifies work that requires another record or amendment. An implementation is not complete when the public function merely works on a developer machine; it is complete only when every deliverable and acceptance gate in this record is satisfied.

Deliverable	Required implementation outcome
core/	Bounded memory publication with embedded resources, the <code>max_output_bytes</code> limit enforced in every output mode, bridge-facing report serialization carrying <code>exit_class</code> , and the writer text/binary emission declaration.
bindings/python/	A versioned, fuzzed Zig C ABI over the default bundle, with opaque result ownership and no Python callbacks.
python/src/zenfmt/	The typed public API, immutable models, validation, exceptions, capability discovery, and secure private loader specified here.
build graph and Python subproject	The self-contained <code>uv</code> project under <code>python/</code> (<code>pyproject.toml</code> , <code>uv.lock</code> , the Hatchling adapter), named <code>zig build python-*</code> orchestration steps at the root, and the canonical <code>build.zig.zon</code> version plumbed into the CLI, bridge, and Python metadata.
tests	Native ABI tests, pytest unit/integration tests, parity tests, adversarial tests, and installed wheel/sdist tests.
benchmarks	The existing corpus benchmark extended with the clean-installed wheel, plus cold-start, warm in-process, memory/path, and concurrent Python API measurements.
documentation	A quickstart, security guidance, a generated public API reference whose examples are exercised by tests, and the updated reference and benchmark chapters of the book.
release	The new wheel and release workflows, the required platform wheels, standalone sdist, standalone CLI archives, version parity, attestations, protected PyPI publication, and one checksummed GitHub release.

127. Problem Statement

The CLI is a good human and shell interface, but it is not a Python library contract. A wrapper built directly around subprocess would inherit several problems:

- failures arrive as exit codes, text, and partial operating-system state instead of typed Python exceptions with structured reports;
- byte input and byte output require pipes or temporary files, while container formats and media resources need seekable or multi-file behavior;
- users must reproduce format selection, output naming, strictness, and manifest conventions in application code;

- every call pays process startup and loses safe in-process concurrency;
- executable lookup through PATH can select an unintended version;
- the CLI and wrapper can drift because their option grammars become a second informal ABI.

Exposing the Zig implementation directly through CPython's extension API would solve process startup but create a different coupling: every native function would also own Python reference-count, object-layout, exception, and stable-ABI concerns. The conversion engine does not need those concerns. Its natural portable boundary is a small C ABI using byte slices, native paths, canonical JSON, and opaque result ownership.

The packaging problem is equally important. A Python package containing native code cannot honestly ship as `py3-none-any`; users need a wheel whose platform tag matches the bundled library or a source distribution that can actually rebuild it. A successful design must keep those artifacts synchronized with the Zig release and make a clean-wheel installation behave the same as a checkout.

128. Goals and Non-Goals

128.1. Goals

- Make `zenfmt.convert(source)` the complete common path: safe defaults, automatic input detection, the default writer, structured diagnostics, and no filesystem output unless the caller supplies an output path.
- Present idiomatic Python values: `pathlib.Path`, bytes, immutable slotted data classes, string enums, context managers only where resources require them, and ordinary Python exception chaining.
- Return the whole artifact ensemble. An in-memory conversion must not drop embedded media merely because it has no destination directory.
- Preserve canonical native reports and manifests without forcing callers to parse terminal text.
- Apply the Elm-style diagnostic contract from ZDS 0002 to every Python-facing failure. Each message states what happened, where it happened, what was or was not produced, and at least one concrete direction rendered under `What you can do:`, matching the engine's text renderer.
- Keep conversion semantics identical across Python, the Zig library, and the CLI for the same source, formats, limits, strictness, and release.
- Keep the runtime package dependency-free beyond the Python standard library and its bundled native bridge.
- Release the GIL while native conversion runs and make independent calls safe from multiple Python threads.
- Load only the native library shipped with this distribution and verify its ABI and release version before use.
- Preserve `zenfmt`'s bounded-resource, no-network, no-reference-following, and atomic path-output guarantees.
- Add a native `max_output_bytes` limit, defaulting to 512 MiB, checked while a writer emits in every output mode. The current input, decoded-text, lowering-work, and resource limits do not bound artifact bytes themselves.
- Provide precise annotations, a `py.typed` marker, stable public exports, and copy-pasteable documentation.
- Use one monorepo version and one root Zig orchestration graph.
- Use `uv` for the Python environment, lockfile, commands, build frontend, and publication; `Ruff` for both linting and formatting; and `pytest` for tests.

- Publish artifacts that are reproducible enough to compare by digest and traceable to a tagged source revision.
- Extend the repository benchmark so the shipped zenfmt wheel is measured as a first-class implementation alongside the native CLI, with separate cold and warm Python API results and verified output parity.

128.2. Non-Goals

- Recreating zenfmt's AST as a graph of Python objects.
- Matching Pandoc's Python ecosystem or providing Python filters in the first release.
- Treating a Python string as document contents. A string is a path; text content is encoded explicitly by the caller.
- Hiding warnings. Successful conversions return every native report in order.
- Using Python's warnings module for conversion reports; global warning filters and text-only messages would discard zenfmt data.
- Guaranteeing rollback after writing to an arbitrary user-provided stream. The first release therefore returns bytes or publishes to a path and does not accept output streams.
- Loading system plugins, searching PATH, reading a configuration file, or consulting environment variables at runtime.
- Making `pip install` compile Zig on platforms for which an official wheel is available.
- Promising a platform before its wheel passes the release gates in this record.

129. Implementation Architecture

The library has three deliberately narrow layers:

1. The public Python layer validates Python arguments, turns successful native output into immutable models, and turns failed native output into typed exceptions. It contains no document-format logic.
2. A private ctypes adapter loads the packaged shared library by absolute path, validates its ABI, marshals one conversion request, copies result bytes into Python ownership, and frees the native result.
3. The native bridge translates the versioned C ABI into the existing Zig `ConvertOptions` and `Conversion` contracts, plus one memory-artifact sink that returns embedded resources instead of projecting them onto disk.

The default call is a memory conversion:

```
import zenfmt

conversion = zenfmt.convert("report.docx")
print(conversion.text)
for report in conversion.reports:
    print(report.code, report.problem)
```

Supplying an output path selects transactional path publication:

```
conversion = zenfmt.convert(
    "report.docx",
    output="build/report.md",
    strict="structure",
)

assert conversion.path.name == "report.md"
assert conversion.manifest.artifact.format == "markdown"
```

Byte input is explicit and never causes an implicit filesystem probe:

```
conversion = zenfmt.convert(
    uploaded_bytes,
    name="upload.docx",
    to="markdown",
)
markdown = conversion.content
```

The examples in this record are normative API acceptance examples. The implementation must make them execute unchanged with the behavior described here.

129.1. End-to-end invariants

For every call, the layers preserve these invariants:

- The Python layer never invents a reader, writer, report, loss, manifest field, or resource digest.
- Invalid Python arguments fail before native work begins and raise `TypeError` or `ValueError`.
- Expected conversion failure produces a `ConversionError` carrying all native reports and no successful `Conversion` object.
- Memory failure returns no artifact bytes or resources; native partial stream state is an internal defect because the bridge stages memory output.
- Path failure publishes no manifest. Publication follows the engine's staged artifact, media, then manifest protocol.
- Success returns exactly one canonical manifest. Path success also commits those same manifest bytes beside the artifact.
- Python copies every borrowed native byte slice before freeing the result.
- A result owns only Python values and remains valid after the native handle is released.

130. Public Python API

130.1. Package identity and imports

The PyPI distribution and top-level import package are both named `zenfmt`. The supported public names are enumerated in `zenfmt.__all__`; modules and names beginning with an underscore are private. The package ships `py.typed`, so its inline annotations are available to type checkers without a separate stubs distribution.

Importing `zenfmt` defines Python objects and reads installed distribution metadata, but does not load the native library. The first capability query or conversion loads and verifies the bridge. Lazy loading keeps imports cheap and lets documentation tools inspect the package, while an unusable wheel still fails at the first operation with a focused `NativeLibraryError`.

The package exposes:

Name	Contract
<code>convert</code>	One-shot conversion using default policy.
<code>formats</code>	Deterministic tuple of native reader and writer capabilities.
<code>Converter</code>	Immutable, reusable conversion policy and capability view.
<code>Conversion</code>	Successful artifact, resources, manifest, and reports.
<code>Format</code>	One compiled reader/writer capability.
<code>Limits</code>	Immutable mirror of the engine's public resource limits.

Name	Contract
Strictness	The off, content, structure, and exact grades.
Report, Direction, Context	Immutable structured diagnostics.
Manifest	Typed access plus lossless canonical JSON.
Resource	Embedded or external artifact resource.
ZenfmtError and subclasses	The stable library exception hierarchy.
__version__	The installed PEP 440 distribution version.

130.2. The conversion call

The conceptual signature is:

```
zenfmt.convert(
    source,
    *,
    to=None,
    from_=None,
    output=None,
    name=None,
    strict=False,
    limits=None,
    overwrite=False,
    preserve_facets=False,
) -> Conversion
```

Every parameter after source is keyword-only. This protects callers when the API gains optional policy and makes security-sensitive values visible at the call site.

Parameter	Meaning
source	A path, bytes-like object, or binary reader as defined below.
to	A canonical writer id, extension-like alias, or Format; None selects the bundle's declared default writer when no output suffix decides.
from_	A canonical reader id, extension-like alias, or Format; the trailing underscore is required because from is a Python keyword.
output	None for a memory result, or a path-like object for transactional publication.
name	A safe display name and extension hint for bytes or a binary reader. It is rejected for path input.
strict	False, True, a Strictness, or its string value. True means content, matching bare --strict.
limits	A Limits value. None uses the engine defaults.
overwrite	Whether an existing artifact ensemble may be replaced; False by default.
preserve_facets	Whether the manifest carries full facet rows instead of the default digest-and-count summaries.

Strings naming formats are ASCII case-insensitive. A single leading dot is ignored, so "DOCX" and ".docx" resolve through the same capability table as "docx". Results always expose the canonical

native format id. Unknown or ambiguous aliases become structured `UnknownFormatError` failures with the engine's suggestions; the wrapper does not maintain a second format table.

130.3. Source semantics

Python value	Behavior	Manifest and detection name
<code>str</code> or <code>os.PathLike</code>	Passed to the native path conversion without pre-reading the file.	The path basename. An adjacent digest-bound manifest may be loaded by the engine.
<code>bytes</code> , <code>bytearray</code> , <code>memoryview</code>	Passed as in-memory bytes. Mutable or non-contiguous buffers are copied before the GIL is released.	name if supplied, otherwise "<memory>". No adjacent file is inspected.
binary reader	Consumed from its current position in bounded chunks until EOF. The wrapper neither seeks nor closes it. <code>read()</code> must return bytes.	name if supplied, otherwise a sanitized basename from its <code>.name</code> attribute when that attribute is already a string, otherwise "<stream>". The attribute is never opened or resolved.

A Python `str` is always a filesystem path, never inline text. This removes the most dangerous ambiguity in a converter API. Callers convert text explicitly:

```
conversion = zenfmt.convert(
    "A heading\n=====\n".encode(),
    from_="rst",
    to="markdown",
)
```

Binary readers are capped while reading at `max_input_bytes`; the wrapper reads at most one byte past the cap to distinguish exact-boundary EOF from overflow. On overflow it stops consuming the reader and submits those bounded bytes to the bridge so the native engine produces the canonical limit report; Python does not invent a parallel report. The wrapper never calls `fileno`, `reopens`, `.name`, follows a URL, or spills to a predictable path.

An explicit name must be a non-empty display basename without a directory separator, NUL, or control character. This prevents an in-memory caller from placing an absolute local path into diagnostics or the reproducible manifest.

On POSIX, path-like values returning bytes remain raw operating-system path bytes. On Windows, paths must be `str`/`Unicode` as required by normal Python filesystem practice. The private ABI has explicit native-path encodings so a filename is never round-tripped through a lossy locale encoding. Embedded NUL characters are rejected before native entry.

130.4. Destination semantics

`output=None` is deliberately side-effect-free with respect to output files. The conversion stages the artifact and all embedded resources in native-owned memory, computes their digests and canonical manifest, then copies the complete ensemble into the result. The Python layer derives the artifact name from the source display name and the writer's primary extension taken from capability metadata — `artifact.<extension>` when no usable stem exists — and passes that

basename across the ABI, where the engine uses it for deterministic resource naming and the manifest.

An output path delegates to the engine's transactional publication. Success means the artifact, its embedded media tree, and `<output>.zenfmt.json` were published as one manifest-vouched ensemble. Existing artifact, manifest, or media targets cause `DestinationExistsError` unless `overwrite=True`.

Arbitrary output streams are not accepted in the first release. They cannot promise rollback after a Python writer accepts a prefix, and buffering an unbounded result in Python merely recreates memory conversion with a less clear contract. A caller that owns this trade-off can write `conversion.content` after successful memory conversion.

130.5. Reusable policy with Converter

`Converter` is an immutable, slotted, thread-safe value. It validates policy at construction and reuses the lazily loaded native bridge:

```
converter = zenfmt.Converter(
    strict=zenfmt.Strictness.STRUCTURE,
    limits=zenfmt.Limits(max_input_bytes=64 * 1024 * 1024),
)

first = converter.convert("one.docx")
second = converter.convert("two.pdf")
available = converter.formats
```

Its constructor accepts `strict`, `limits`, and `preserve_facets`. Its `convert` method accepts the same call-specific arguments as the top-level function and uses the converter's policy unless that argument is explicitly overridden. `overwrite` remains call-specific and defaults to `false`; it is not a persistent converter policy because accidental reuse would be costly.

The top-level `convert` function is behaviorally equivalent to a fresh default `Converter` but may use a private immutable singleton. There is no public mutable default converter, configuration registry, or environment-driven policy.

130.6. Formats and capability discovery

`zenfmt.formats()` and `Converter.formats` return a tuple of immutable `Format` values produced from native capability metadata. Each value includes:

- canonical format id;
- stable plugin id;
- declared extensions in priority order;
- whether the format can be read, written, or both;
- the primary output extension when writable;
- whether the reader needs seekable input;
- whether the writer emits UTF-8 text or arbitrary bytes.

The tuple is deterministic and ordered by the native bundle registry. No hard-coded Python list is permitted. The first release therefore reports all nineteen existing readers and the Markdown writer without claiming a writer that is not compiled into the release.

Capability metadata also drives validation, alias resolution, artifact naming, and the `Conversion.text` property. This prevents four slightly different format registries from appearing in the CLI, engine, Python code, and docs.

130.7. Limits and strictness

Limits is a frozen, slotted data class with one positive integer field for every field in the engine's public Limits. Field names and defaults match Zig exactly, including hard caps. Unknown keywords fail at Python construction; zero, negative, Boolean, non-integer, and hard-cap-violating values raise ValueError before native work. The native engine validates them again because the ABI is a trust boundary.

Adding a native limit is an additive Python change only when the same change adds its typed Python field and the native/Python parity test agrees on name, width, default, and hard cap. Removing, renaming, or changing the meaning of a limit is a breaking API change and an amendment to the engine record. This record adds max_output_bytes because artifact output is not otherwise directly bounded; its 512 MiB default matches max_input_bytes and is checked at the shared artifact sink during writer emission, before another byte is accepted, in every output mode. A breach fails the conversion with the new limit-class report core.output-too-large. This record is the design record documenting that limit; the book's reference chapter gains matching limit and report rows as part of delivery, and ZDS 0013's limits table remains authoritative for the limits it lists.

Strictness is a str enum with OFF, CONTENT, STRUCTURE, and EXACT. Successful reports are not promoted by Python; the selected grade is passed to the native planner so refusal happens before output exactly as ZDS 0013 requires.

130.8. Successful result

Conversion is a frozen, slotted value with these public properties:

Property	Contract
content	Artifact bytes for memory output, otherwise None.
text	UTF-8 decoded artifact text for an in-memory textual writer. Raises TypeError for path output or a binary writer; never guesses an encoding.
path	pathlib.Path for path output, otherwise None. The spelling supplied by the caller is preserved rather than silently resolved.
name	The basename used in the manifest and for deterministic resource names.
source_format	Canonical reader id selected by the engine.
output_format	Canonical writer id selected by the engine.
resources	Tuple of Resource values, including embedded bytes in memory mode and published paths in path mode.
manifest	The typed Manifest value and its exact canonical JSON.
reports	Tuple of every note and warning in canonical native order.

The result has no .ok flag; if a Conversion exists, conversion succeeded. Expected failures raise exceptions. This follows ordinary Python practice without throwing away zenfmt's structured failure data.

Resource distinguishes embedded and external resources. An embedded resource has a relative artifact path, media type, BLAKE3 digest, source description, dimensions and alt text when known, plus either content in memory mode or path in path mode. An external resource exposes its unchanged reference and digest scope but is never fetched. Resource collections preserve manifest order.

130.9. Manifest model

Manifest retains the exact canonical UTF-8 JSON bytes returned by Zig as `.raw`. It also provides typed access to the schema, source, artifact, reports, document metadata, plugins, media, and facets. Unknown fields are retained. `to_dict()` returns a defensive, JSON-compatible copy for applications that want general traversal or serialization.

The wrapper must not decode and re-encode `.raw`, because doing so could break canonical byte identity or discard unknown preservation fields. Typed model construction validates the required envelope and points to `NativeLibraryError` if the bundled bridge returns an internally inconsistent manifest; malformed user input remains a conversion report, not a bridge error.

130.10. Reports and exceptions

Report, Direction, and the context variants are frozen, slotted Python models mirroring the native report schema. Stable machine fields remain exact: `code`, `severity`, `loss`, `exit_class`, `count`, `contexts`, `samples`, and each direction's optional command and replacement. The engine's canonical manifest and CLI report JSON do not carry `exit_class`; the bridge serializes reports with `exit_class` included through a serializer option, leaving canonical manifest bytes unchanged, and the result-level worst class also crosses the ABI through a dedicated accessor. Human prose may improve between releases, so applications switch on codes and enums rather than matching `str(report)`.

Successful warnings and notes remain in `Conversion.reports`; the library does not print them and does not emit Python warnings. Failed conversion raises this hierarchy:

```
Exception
├─ ZenfmtError
│   ├── ConversionError
│   │   ├── LimitExceededError
│   │   ├── UnknownFormatError
│   │   └─ DestinationExistsError
│   ├── InputReadError
│   ├── NativeLibraryError
│   └─ UnsupportedPlatformError
```

`ConversionError` exposes `.reports`, `.primary_report`, `.code`, and `.exit_class`. `InputReadError` represents a failure raised while consuming a caller-owned binary reader before native conversion begins. Its original exception is retained as `__cause__`.

The three subclasses correspond to stable conditions applications commonly handle. All other native conversion failures use `ConversionError`; the library does not create one exception class per report code. Invalid Python arguments continue to use `TypeError` and `ValueError`, but their messages obey the same Elm-style structure. Loader, ABI, corrupt wheel, and impossible native-result conditions use `NativeLibraryError`, never `ConversionError`, because they are installation or library defects rather than document failures.

130.11. Elm-style Python error contract

The native Report contract from ZDS 0002 is also the minimum quality bar for errors created by the Python layer. No public operation may leak a bare `ctypes.ArgumentError`, `UnicodeDecodeError`, `JSONDecodeError`, `KeyError`, or unexplained `OSError`. The underlying exception is chained as `__cause__` when it is useful for debugging, while the public exception explains the failure in zenfmt terms.

Every `ZenfmtError` exposes these common details:

Detail	Contract
<code>.code</code>	Stable namespaced machine id. Python-originated ids use the <code>python.</code> namespace.
<code>.title</code>	Short human headline answering what happened.
<code>.problem</code>	Plain-language explanation with the offending value or operation when safe.
<code>.context</code>	Structured argument, path, platform, package, or native ABI location when available.
<code>.consequence</code>	What stopped, what remained untouched, and whether any artifact was produced.
<code>.directions</code>	One or more structured <code>Direction</code> values giving concrete next actions.
<code>.hint</code>	Convenient rendering of the first direction; always present.
<code>.details</code>	Read-only structured diagnostic facts. Raw document bytes and secret-bearing environment data are excluded; callers still decide whether paths and names are safe to log.

`str(error)` is a compact, color-free Elm-style renderer containing title, problem, context when useful, consequence, and a final `What you can do:` section listing every direction — the same rendering contract as the engine’s text renderer, so one failure reads the same through the CLI and Python. Conversion errors derive these fields and text from the primary native report; the wrapper does not rewrite its facts. Python-originated failures come from a tested diagnostic catalog with stable codes and direction templates, rather than ad hoc strings at each `raise` site.

An invalid argument therefore looks like:

```
INVALID SOURCE TYPE
```

```
`source` must be a path, bytes-like object, or binary reader; received `int`.
```

```
The conversion did not start, and no output or manifest was created.
```

What you can do:

```
    Pass a path such as `Path("report.docx")` or document bytes.
```

A bridge mismatch names both versions, says that conversion did not start, and provides a complete reinstall command as its first direction. A destination failure names the exact destination operation, states that no manifest was published, and uses the native report’s safe overwrite or alternate-path direction. “Try again,” “invalid value,” and “native error” without an actionable direction are test failures, not acceptable messages.

Programmer argument mistakes remain catchable as ordinary `TypeError` or `ValueError`. They carry the same five-part rendered message but are not given stable application-control-flow codes. `KeyboardInterrupt`, `SystemExit`, and `GeneratorExit` are process control signals rather than library failures and are re-raised unchanged. An allocation-exhaustion path uses a pre-allocated minimal message whose consequence says that no completed result was returned and whose hint recommends reducing the input/output limits or freeing memory.

130.12. Documentation and compatibility

Every public name has a docstring with a minimal example, parameter contract, return type, failure modes, and security-relevant behavior. The package README starts with path, bytes, strict, and path-output examples before explaining installation or internals. The API reference is generated from the shipped annotations and docstrings; examples are run as tests where practical.

The compatibility policy is:

- public names are exactly those exported by `zenfmt.__all__` and documented;
- fields of frozen public models are stable within a major version;
- new optional parameters, enum members, model properties, formats, reports, and limits may be additive minor changes;
- report wording is not stable, while report codes and structured meanings are;
- positional expansion is prevented by keyword-only options;
- removing or changing public behavior requires a major version and a ZDS;
- deprecations warn for at least one minor release before removal when the security impact permits a transition period.

131. Native Boundary

131.1. Why a versioned C ABI loaded by ctypes

The bridge uses the platform C calling convention and no CPython API. The Python layer loads it with `ctypes.CDLL`, which releases the GIL for ordinary foreign calls. This gives one native artifact per operating system and architecture rather than one per CPython minor ABI, and keeps Python reference-counting out of the engine.

The public Python package is the only supported consumer of this initial C ABI. The bridge symbols remain private implementation detail even though a C loader can see them. Stabilizing a general C library is a separate design decision.

131.2. ABI shape

The bridge exposes only these categories of operation:

- query ABI and zenfmt release versions;
- retrieve canonical capability JSON;
- convert a path input or byte input to a memory ensemble or path ensemble;
- read status, exit class, selected formats, reports JSON, manifest JSON, artifact bytes, and resource descriptors from an opaque result;
- release exactly one opaque result.

Options cross the boundary as a small versioned UTF-8 JSON object with a deterministic key order and no floating-point values. Large input, artifact, and resource byte sequences cross as pointer-length slices, never base64 inside JSON. Paths carry an explicit native encoding: raw bytes on POSIX or UTF-16 code units on Windows. The ABI uses fixed-width integers, lengths rather than sentinel termination, and numeric status tags whose meaning is fixed by the ABI version. It does not expose Zig structs, enums, allocators, error unions, slices, or alignment assumptions directly.

The result is an opaque handle owned by the bridge. Accessor slices are borrowed and valid until the one release call. Python copies them inside `try/finally` and releases the handle even if JSON decoding or model construction raises. A null result, invalid length, unknown status,

invalid UTF-8 where UTF-8 is required, or inconsistent manifest is a `NativeLibraryError` and a bridge test failure.

No callbacks enter Python during conversion. The bridge does not retain input pointers after the call, store a Python object, invoke Python allocation, or depend on Python thread-local state. This keeps the GIL release safe and makes the call graph one-directional.

131.3. Memory artifact ensemble

The existing writer-stream result is insufficient for a complete Python memory result. Stream output already returns the canonical manifest, but embedded resources are projected only for path output: the media plan never runs, so the manifest carries no media entries and image targets keep reader-internal names. The bridge therefore requires a narrow engine addition: a memory publication sink that performs the same deterministic resource naming, target rewriting, digests, and manifest construction as path publication, but returns artifact and resource bytes instead of opening final paths, and populates the result only after the manifest is complete.

Concretely, the engine’s output specification gains a memory variant carrying the artifact base-name supplied by the wrapper, and the conversion result gains an optional memory ensemble — artifact bytes plus embedded resource files — and the selected canonical reader and writer ids. Failure paths never populate the ensemble. Writer descriptors additionally declare whether they emit UTF-8 text or arbitrary bytes so capability metadata can report it.

This is an engine capability, not Python-specific document logic. Its native tests compare memory and path publication: artifact bytes, relative resource names, digests, reports, and manifest semantic content must match, with only the intentionally different destination representation allowed. Allocation exhaustion during a bridge conversion follows the engine’s canonical contract: a failed conversion carrying exactly one `core.out-of-memory` report, as pinned by the repository’s allocation-failure suite.

131.4. Loading and version checks

The wheel stores one bridge under a private package resource directory. The canonical bridge filenames are `libzenfmt_py.so`, `libzenfmt_py.dylib`, and `zenfmt_py.dll`. The loader selects the exact filename for the running platform and opens its absolute package path. It never searches the current directory, `PATH`, a system library directory, a user configuration location, or an environment override. This prevents library preloading and version-confusion surprises.

Before the first real operation the loader verifies:

- the bridge ABI major equals the Python layer’s required ABI major;
- the bridge ABI minor is at least the minimum understood minor;
- the native `zenfmt` version equals the installed distribution version after the documented SemVer-to-PEP-440 mapping;
- capability JSON has the supported schema version;
- the bridge reports the expected pointer width and native path convention.

Failure names the detected platform, installed Python version, wheel version, native version when readable, and a safe reinstall command. It does not fall back to another library. For development installs, the Hatchling hook is a no-op for editable builds; `zig build python-sync` builds the host bridge and stages it from `zig-out` into the source-layout package’s private resource directory, which the editable install exposes as the environment’s package-resource location. The runtime loader uses the same resource rule as a wheel and never searches `zig-out` itself.

131.5. Concurrency and process behavior

The bridge owns no mutable process-wide conversion state. Capability metadata may be cached after validation; conversion arenas and result handles are per-call. Converter values are immutable, so the same instance may be called from multiple Python threads. `ctypes.CDLL` releases the GIL for the native call and reacquires it before Python model construction.

Forking a multithreaded process while a conversion is active inherits the usual Python and operating-system hazards. The supported rule is to create worker processes before starting conversion threads or use the `spawn` start method. The library registers no background threads, signal handlers, `atexit` hooks, or fork hooks.

132. Project and Build Implementation

132.1. Repository layout

The repository root is a pure Zig project; the Python distribution is a self-contained uv project below `python/`, so language surfaces publish independently and the root carries no Python configuration:

```
zenfmt/
├─ build.zig          # the monorepo orchestration graph, Python steps
included
├─ build.zig.zon      # canonical release version and Zig dependency lock
├─ python/           # the complete uv/Hatchling project
│   ├── pyproject.toml # PEP 517/621, uv, Ruff, and pytest configuration
│   ├── uv.lock        # committed Python resolution
│   ├── hatch_build.py  # packaging adapter; delegates native builds to Zig
│   ├── README.md      # PyPI-facing readme
│   ├── LICENSE        # MIT text carried into wheel and sdist metadata
│   ├── src/zenfmt/     # public package and private ctypes adapter
│   │   ├── py.typed
│   │   └── _native/    # wheel-only packaged bridge artifact
│   ├── tests/         # pytest unit, integration, and contract tests
│   └── benchmarks/
│       └── python_api.py # installed-wheel cold/warm API benchmark worker
├─ bindings/python/   # Zig bridge source and ABI contract tests
├─ core/
├─ support/
├─ formats/
├─ src/
├─ cli/
├─ benchmarks/
│   ├── benchmark.zig  # unified child-process comparison harness
│   └── results/       # generated JSON and Markdown result files
└─ docs/
```

`python/src` is a source layout, so tests exercise an installed package rather than accidentally importing repository files. The bridge is under `bindings` because it is a language boundary; the public Python implementation remains under `python`. The source distribution stays standalone-buildable because the `sdist` target force-includes the Zig sources it needs from the repository at build time (see the source-distribution section); nothing is vendored in git.

`python/pyproject.toml` records Vikrant Rathore as the project author by name. Project documentation credits assistance from Ronak Rathore. The package uses the repository's MIT license;

python/LICENSE is a copy of the root license text so wheel and sdist metadata carry it, with the root file staying canonical.

132.2. Division of tool authority

Tool	Owns
zig build	The monorepo graph, bridge compilation, target and optimize mode, native tests, artifact placement, and aggregate developer gates.
uv	Python interpreter/environment selection, dependency groups, uv.lock, command execution, PEP 517 frontend behavior, building, clean install checks, and publication.
Hatchling	PEP 517 metadata, source-layout inclusion, native-artifact inclusion, platform wheel tags, source distribution contents, and the thin hook that delegates native compilation back to zig build.
Ruff	All Python linting, import sorting, and formatting.
pytest	All Python unit, integration, API contract, and installed-artifact tests.

uv is the project manager, not the native compiler. Hatchling is selected because uv's own build backend is intentionally limited to pure-Python projects; uv remains the build frontend and environment manager for any PEP 517 backend.

Runtime dependencies are empty. A dev dependency group contains pytest and Ruff, locked in uv.lock. Build requirements are constrained to compatible Hatchling versions and participate in release build constraints. The lockfile is changed only through uv and committed with dependency changes.

132.3. Root build steps

The root graph adds these explicit steps and folds their checks into existing aggregates:

Command	Contract
zig build python-native	Build the host bridge into zig-out without invoking Python.
zig build python-sync	Build the host bridge and run uv's locked development-environment sync, staging the bridge into that environment.
zig build python-test	Build the host bridge and run pytest through uv.
zig build python-lint	Run ruff check through uv.
zig build python-format	Run Ruff's formatter in write mode.
zig build python-format-check	Check Ruff formatting without edits.
zig build python-wheel	Build the host platform wheel through uv after the native artifact is available.
zig build benchmark-python	Build and clean-install the host wheel, then run the cold, warm, memory/path, error, and concurrency API profiles.

Command	Contract
<code>zig build benchmark</code>	Run the unified corpus comparison, including the installed zenfmt wheel as well as the native CLI, pandoc, and anydoc.
<code>zig build python-check</code>	Run native ABI tests, lint, format check, pytest, wheel inspection, and a clean-install smoke test.

`zig build test` depends on `python-test`; `zig build fmt-check` depends on `python-lint` and `python-format-check`; the existing `benchmark` step depends on `benchmark-python`; and the release gate depends on `python-check` plus a recorded benchmark run. No aggregate check or release gate exists before this record; the `python-check` aggregate, the wheel workflow, and the tag-driven release workflow are new constructs delivered here, not extensions of existing ones. `python-native` honors the standard `--prefix` flag so one host can cross-compile the bridge for every wheel target into per-target directories without artifact collisions. Missing `uv` is a clear prerequisite failure, never a silent skip. Direct `uv`/`Ruff`/`pytest` commands remain documented for Python-focused contributors, but CI calls the root Zig steps so the monorepo has one graph.

The build hook does not duplicate target selection or run arbitrary shell strings. It invokes a named root Zig step with explicit arguments, requires the expected output at an exact path, and then gives that artifact to Hatchling. Wheel metadata derives its platform tag from the same validated target tuple.

132.4. Repository integration obligations

Integrating a new language and a bridge into the monorepo touches several existing contracts that are easy to miss:

- `build.zig.zon` gains `.paths` entries for bindings and python (the latter covers the whole Python subproject, `pyproject.toml`, `hatch_build.py`, and `uv.lock` included), and its `.version` becomes the canonical `0.1.0`; `build.zig` reads and validates that version and embeds it in the CLI and the bridge.
- The root format check and end-to-end test lists are explicit arrays; the bridge sources join the format list and the new engine test files join the test list.
- The documentation-drift gate requires every new engine report code and every new limit to appear in the book's reference chapter; `core.output-too-large` and `max_output_bytes` therefore make that chapter a deliverable. Bridge `bridge.*` codes and Python `python.*` codes live outside the scanned engine roots and are deliberately absent from that catalog because the ABI is private.
- The allocation-failure suite pins the default bundle at nineteen readers and the single `core.out-of-memory` report; the bridge must preserve both.
- The benchmark harness's tool set and the book's benchmark chapter hard-code the compared tools; both are named deliverables of the benchmark phase.

132.5. Python configuration

`python/pyproject.toml` is the only Python tool configuration file. It contains:

- PEP 621 project metadata with `requires-python >= 3.10`, MIT licensing, project URLs, classifiers, and name-only authorship;
- a dynamic version derived from `build.zig.zon`;
- Hatchling build-system and custom hook configuration;
- `uv`'s dev dependency group and supported-environment constraints;

- Ruff target version, lint selection, formatter policy, and package source roots;
- pytest paths, strict markers/config, and `--import-mode=importlib`.

Ruff is configured once for `python/src`, `python/tests`, `python/benchmarks/python_api.py`, and `python/hatch_build.py` — every Python file in the repository lives inside the subproject, so one root-relative configuration covers them all. The formatter’s output is canonical; contributor style arguments are not re-litigated in reviews. Lint selections emphasize correctness, security-prone APIs, modern Python, import hygiene, annotations, and tests, with every ignore narrowly documented beside the configuration.

133. Packaging and Release

133.1. One release version

`build.zig.zon` remains the canonical SemVer version for the monorepo, and it becomes `0.1.0` with this record’s delivery. `build.zig` reads the value, validates it, and embeds it in the CLI’s `--version` output and the bridge’s version query — none of which existed before this record. The Python metadata hook translates the same value deterministically to PEP 440, and `zenfmt.__version__` reads installed distribution metadata. Stable versions map unchanged; pre-release identifiers use the corresponding PEP 440 spelling; development or local build identifiers are never uploaded as a stable public release.

The bridge embeds the same canonical version. Build and import checks reject a Python/native mismatch. A release tag, CLI version, Zig package version, Python distribution version, and bridge version therefore identify one source state.

133.2. Wheels

Each wheel contains platform-independent typed Python source plus one native bridge, and carries a platform tag. Because the bridge uses no Python C ABI, the Python tag is `py3`, the ABI tag is `none`, and `Requires-Python` enforces the language floor. Wheels are never marked any.

The initial required matrix is:

Platform family	Required architectures/baseline
Linux glibc	<code>manylinux_2_17</code> on <code>x86_64</code> and <code>aarch64</code> .
Linux musl	<code>musllinux_1_2</code> on <code>x86_64</code> and <code>aarch64</code> .
macOS	macOS 12 or later on <code>x86_64</code> and <code>arm64</code> .
Windows	64-bit <code>x86_64</code> (<code>win_amd64</code>).

The Python layer targets Python 3.10 and later and uses only standard-library APIs available at that floor. Release CI tests every supported CPython minor from the declared floor through the latest stable release. PyPy support is a deferred post-`0.1.0` additive gate rather than a first-release requirement, subject to the same `ctypes` and platform-tag contract. A platform is listed as supported only while its wheel is built, inspected, installed, loaded, and exercised on that platform. Additional platforms are additive releases after the same gate.

Wheel inspection must confirm:

- one and only one expected native bridge;
- no object, test, cache, credential, temporary, or developer path leakage;
- correct `Root-Is-PureLib`, Python, ABI, and platform tags;
- the root license and typed marker are present;

- native dependency inspection shows only permitted baseline system libraries;
- the loader succeeds from a clean environment with a path containing spaces and non-ASCII characters;
- the installed package does not need Zig, uv, Hatchling, Ruff, or pytest.

133.3. Source distribution

The release also publishes one source distribution, built from the `python/` subproject so `pyproject.toml` sits at the archive root as PEP 517 requires. It includes the Python package and tests, build hook, `pyproject.toml`, lock needed for release verification, and the subproject readme and license; the Zig sources required to build the same bridge — `build.zig/build.zig.zon`, `bridge`, `engine`, `support`, `default format`, and `umbrella sources` — are force-included from the repository at build time and nested under `engine/` inside the archive, which keeps the Zig tree’s internal layout intact (its `src/` never collides with the Python `src/` layout) without vendoring a second source tree in git. The packaging hook resolves the Zig build root in either place: the `sdist-local engine/` directory or the repository parent of `python/`. The sdist excludes repository-only docs output, benchmarks corpora, temporary files, and unrelated generated artifacts.

Building from the source distribution requires the minimum Zig version named by `build.zig.zon` and a supported Python. The PEP 517 failure for a missing or wrong Zig executable states exactly which version is required and recommends installing an official wheel when one exists. The sdist is tested by unpacking it outside the checkout, building a wheel through uv with local-source overrides disabled, installing that wheel into a clean environment, and running the public smoke suite.

An sdist that depends on parent-directory monorepo files is invalid. The release gate inspects its file list and builds only from the archive.

133.4. PyPI publication

The intended PyPI project name is `zenfmt`. Publication occurs only from the tag-driven release workflow’s protected `pypi` environment after all wheel and sdist jobs complete. Trusted Publishing with short-lived OIDC credentials is preferred once the project publisher is configured. The bootstrap release may instead use a project-scoped PyPI API token stored only as that environment’s encrypted `PYPI_API_TOKEN` secret. The credential never enters a build job, repository file, artifact, command output, or maintainer environment file.

The publication job downloads the artifacts built and exercised by unprivileged jobs, checks that filenames and embedded versions form one complete matrix, and publishes those exact bytes through the PyPA publish action with PEP 740 attestation generation enabled. A TestPyPI release candidate is required when TestPyPI authority has been configured and whenever packaging machinery changes materially after the bootstrap release. The same tag builds standalone CLI archives for every wheel target and creates one GitHub release containing the CLI archives, wheels, sdist, and SHA-256 checksum manifest.

No release job builds after it receives publication authority. Build jobs do not receive publication authority. Published files are immutable; a broken artifact is fixed with a new version rather than replaced.

134. Testing and Quality Gates

134.1. Python unit tests

pytest unit tests cover the Python layer without requiring real conversion for every case. A private fake-bridge fixture supplies valid and invalid capability, result, report, manifest, and resource payloads. Tests cover:

- every accepted and rejected source type;
- binary-reader chunking, exact limit boundaries, non-bytes reads, and ownership/close behavior;
- format alias normalization and ambiguity;
- Limits type, range, Boolean, unknown-field, and hard-cap validation;
- strictness normalization;
- immutable/hash and copy semantics of public models;
- manifest unknown-field preservation and exact .raw bytes;
- exception selection, attributes, messages, and chaining;
- the Elm-style four-question contract, stable Python diagnostic codes, non-empty What you can do: rendering, and concrete directions for every cataloged failure;
- proof that no expected path leaks raw FFI, decoder, lookup, or filesystem exceptions through the public API;
- result-handle release on success and on every Python exception path;
- lazy loading and deterministic loader failures;
- public exports, signatures, annotations, doc examples, and `py.typed`.

Tests assert behavior, not private module layout. Private FFI definitions also have size, signedness, calling-convention, and symbol-name tests so an ABI drift fails close to its cause.

134.2. Native and integration tests

Zig ABI tests call the bridge as a C consumer would: valid paths and bytes, zero lengths, maximum lengths, invalid tags, invalid UTF-8, embedded NUL, misaligned/nullable inputs where permitted, repeated access, and single release. The bridge is fuzzed at its request/options boundary independently of Python.

pytest integration tests use the real host bridge and the repository corpus. They compare Python conversion with direct Zig conversion for:

- every registered reader into every registered writer;
- explicit and detected formats;
- bytes, binary readers, Unicode paths, and path-like objects;
- default and overridden limits;
- every strictness grade and representative loss;
- successful reports and failed report arrays;
- stale, malformed, valid, and absent adjacent manifests;
- embedded and external resources in memory and path modes;
- overwrite refusal and publication failure;
- canonical artifact, resource, digest, and manifest bytes;
- simultaneous calls through one Converter from multiple threads.

No test silently skips a format compiled into the default bundle. Capability metadata parameterizes the matrix; an unexpected new format creates required cases automatically.

134.3. Installed-artifact tests

Tests against the checkout are necessary but insufficient. Every release artifact is installed into a clean environment and must pass:

- import, version, ABI, and capability queries;
- a byte-to-byte conversion;
- a path-to-path conversion with manifest and media validation;
- a structured failure and a limit refusal;
- a concurrent conversion;
- import and conversion with the checkout absent from `sys.path`;
- operation with a read-only installed package directory.

The wheel smoke test runs without Zig and without development dependencies. The sdist smoke test runs from its unpacked source only. A source tree test may not stand in for either one.

134.4. Benchmarking the installed wheel

The repository’s benchmark is extended to measure the Python API that users actually install. It must never import `python/src/zenfmt` directly or load a bridge from the checkout. `zig build benchmark-python` builds a `ReleaseSafe` wheel, installs that exact wheel into a clean isolated uv environment, and runs `python/benchmarks/python_api.py` with the checkout’s Python sources absent from `sys.path`. Before timing, the worker records and verifies the wheel filename, wheel SHA-256 digest, installed `zenfmt.__version__`, package location, native version, ABI version, Python implementation/version, and platform tag.

The ordinary `zig build benchmark -Doptimize=ReleaseSafe` step depends on this installed-wheel benchmark and adds `zenfmt-python-wheel` to the existing corpus comparison beside the native `zenfmt CLI`, `pandoc`, and `anydoc`. The wheel build and installation are setup costs and are reported as release metadata, not included in conversion latency.

134.4.1. Benchmark profiles

Cold and warm results answer different questions and must never be merged into one headline number:

Profile	Timed region	Question answered
cold import	A fresh interpreter imports <code>zenfmt</code> , validates distribution metadata, and reads <code>__version__</code> .	What does adding the package to a short-lived Python program cost?
cold first conversion	A fresh interpreter imports the installed package, loads/verifies the bridge, constructs a default <code>Converter</code> , converts one corpus path to memory, and materializes result models.	What does a one-shot script or job pay?
warm path → memory	One imported process and <code>Converter</code> convert each corpus path into artifact/resource bytes and Python models.	What does the normal long-running service API cost?

Profile	Timed region	Question answered
warm bytes → memory	Source bytes are loaded before timing; the call includes FFI validation, conversion, native-to-Python copies, manifest and report decoding, and model construction.	What is Python/FFI overhead when application I/O is already complete?
path publication	The installed API converts a source path into a fresh temporary output directory, including artifact, media, and manifest publication. Cleanup occurs after timing.	Does the Python entry point preserve CLI-grade end-to-end path performance?
diagnostic failure	Representative unknown-format, limit, and destination failures build structured exceptions and render their Elm-style text.	What does the complete Python error experience cost?
concurrent throughput	One immutable Converter processes independent corpus documents with 1, 2, 4, and 8 worker threads.	Does GIL release translate into useful parallel throughput without changing results?

The existing real-document corpus is the primary workload. A fixed tiny text-to-Markdown case is added only as a boundary microbenchmark so native loading, validation, copying, and model construction are visible when parsing work is negligible. Synthetic microbenchmark results are reported separately and never used to claim corpus throughput.

134.4.2. Measurement rules

- The default is one discarded warm-up followed by five measured iterations, matching the existing harness. Results report the median; raw samples remain in machine-readable output.
- Cold profiles use a new child process for every sample. The Zig parent measures wall clock, user-plus-system CPU, and peak RSS through the same process/rusage mechanism used for the CLI comparison.
- Warm profiles run repeated calls in one installed-wheel process. Python’s monotonic high-resolution and process CPU clocks measure each call; the parent still records whole-worker peak RSS. Garbage collection and temporary output cleanup occur between samples, outside the timed region, without disabling normal runtime behavior inside a call.
- Bytes input is read before the bytes-to-memory timer. Path input opening, reading, and adjacent-manifest behavior remain inside path profile timers.
- Result construction is inside the timed region. The worker consumes artifact length, manifest bytes, report codes, and resource digests before completing a sample so the full public API result is exercised.
- Python wheel and native baselines use the same source revision, optimize mode, limits, formats, corpus files, CPU affinity policy, and iteration count. Environment differences are written to the results rather than normalized away silently.

- The publishable comparison uses the latest stable CPython on the repository’s designated benchmark host. Other Python versions and wheel platforms may emit diagnostic result files, but results from different machines are never combined into one aggregate or ratio.
- The benchmark makes no network request. pandoc and anydoc dependencies and the document corpus are prepared before timing using the repository’s existing workflow.

The benchmark reports raw values and ratios; it does not subtract the native median from the Python median, because independently sampled differences are too noisy to call “binding overhead.” The cold installed-wheel row is compared with the CLI’s child-process row. Warm Python memory calls are compared with an equivalent in-process Zig memory-publication profile added to `benchmarks/stages.zig`. Ratios always name numerator and denominator, and a larger-is-better throughput ratio is never placed beside a smaller-is-better latency ratio without an explicit label.

134.4.3. Correctness before timing

Performance data is publishable only after parity checks pass. For every shared corpus case, the installed wheel and same-revision native path must agree on:

- selected input and output format ids;
- artifact bytes and digest;
- embedded resource bytes, relative names, order, and digests;
- canonical report codes, severities, counts, losses, and directions;
- manifest semantic content, allowing only the destination-name fields that intentionally differ between memory and path profiles;
- success/failure classification and strict/limit refusal behavior.

A mismatch marks the sample `ok=false`, records the first bounded difference, excludes the sample from aggregates, and fails the benchmark step after writing diagnostic results. Unsupported formats remain visible as `supported=false`; they are never silently removed from the denominator.

134.4.4. Result artifacts and reporting

`benchmarks/results/python.json` is the detailed installed-wheel result file. It contains schema version, source revision, wheel identity/digest, interpreter, platform, native ABI/version, benchmark configuration, raw samples, medians, parity status, and concurrency throughput. The existing `benchmarks/results/latest.json` gains the cold `zenfmt-python-wheel` tool row and a reference to the detailed Python result schema.

`benchmarks/results/results.md` remains generated human-readable output and gains a **Python wheel API** section, rendered by the harness from the detailed Python results, showing cold import, first call, warm memory, path publication, error rendering, concurrency, and native comparison ratios. The benchmark harness and the book’s benchmark chapter both enumerate the compared tools explicitly, so adding the wheel is a code change in each: the harness gains a fourth tool and the chapter gains the fourth tool name, palette entry, adjusted bar layout, and a **Python wheel API** section reading the detailed Python results. Once extended, the chapter reads generated JSON only, so published documentation stays generated. Result files are produced by the harness and never edited by hand.

The initial implementation records measurements rather than inventing a performance budget. After representative baselines exist, a regression budget may be adopted by amendment with a named profile, corpus, statistic, and machine policy. Review must not hide a slow cold start behind warm throughput or use the tiny boundary case as a marketing result.

134.5. Acceptance gates

This ZDS may move to committed only when:

- the documented public surface exists with no undocumented public aliases;
- all root Zig and Python quality steps pass;
- every Python and native failure exposed by the public API satisfies the Elm-style contract and provides at least one actionable direction rendered under `What you can do::`;
- the ABI is versioned, documented privately, fuzzed, and checked at load;
- memory output returns resources and is parity-tested with path output;
- `max_output_bytes` is enforced during writer emission in every output mode;
- the default format table comes only from native capability metadata;
- failure paths leak no result handle and publish no path manifest;
- a wheel for every required target passes inspection and clean-install tests;
- the sdist builds a passing wheel outside the monorepo checkout;
- the installed-wheel benchmark covers every required profile, passes artifact parity, and writes complete wheel/version/platform metadata to generated results;
- the unified `zig build benchmark` report contains the Python wheel beside the native CLI and keeps cold, warm, and concurrent measurements distinct;
- release version parity is mechanically enforced;
- user documentation clearly states input authority, adjacent-manifest loading, resource limits, external-reference behavior, and in-process native risk;
- PyPI publication uses the protected environment, a project-scoped credential (Trusted Publishing when configured), and artifact attestations;
- the GitHub release contains the complete wheel matrix, sdist, CLI matrix, and checksum manifest.

135. Security Considerations

135.1. Threat model

The engine threat model remains: an attacker supplies a document, and possibly many documents, to a Python process converting on someone else's behalf. The Python boundary adds malicious Python objects, hostile paths, corrupt package artifacts, ABI mismatch, native library preloading, excessive buffer copying, and accidental authority through convenience behavior.

135.2. Input authority

Only an explicit path source grants filesystem read authority. Bytes and binary readers never cause the library to open their display name. Path input retains the engine's one adjacent-manifest probe and digest verification; the public docs state this because it is additional filesystem input derived from the selected path. No input causes network access, external entity expansion, include following, link fetching, or plugin discovery.

Python protocol calls are minimized. The wrapper calls `os.fspath` once for a path-like value or read repeatedly for a recognized binary reader; it does not inspect arbitrary attributes except the optional display-only `.name`. Ordinary exceptions from those calls become an Elm-style `InputReadError` or argument error with the original value chained as `__cause__`; process-control exceptions are re-raised unchanged. Every path releases any native state.

135.3. Resource exhaustion and copies

Native limits remain authoritative and are validated twice. Binary-reader ingestion is bounded before accumulating the full input. Integer conversion is checked before narrowing to fixed-width

ABI fields. Buffer sizes use checked arithmetic, and a reported native length is validated against the relevant limit before Python copies it.

Memory conversion necessarily owns artifact and embedded resource bytes. `max_output_bytes` bounds the artifact while `max_resource_bytes` bounds embedded resources; checked addition prevents the ensemble or a Python copy from wrapping an integer. The documentation directs services handling large or untrusted output to explicit path conversion inside a quota-controlled directory. The bridge never returns a Python view onto freed native memory.

135.4. Native library loading and supply chain

ctypes bypasses Python’s memory safety, so its use is isolated in one private module with complete prototypes and tests. The package loads an absolute, wheel-owned artifact only, checks ABI and version before conversion, and has no system fallback or runtime download. Wheel records, platform tags, native dependency inspection, release attestations, and clean-install tests defend the packaging boundary.

Python build and development dependencies are locked. Release builds use isolated PEP 517 environments with explicit build constraints and hash verification where supported. Trusted Publishing avoids a long-lived upload credential; when a bootstrap token is used, it is project-scoped and isolated to the protected publication environment. Neither mechanism proves code safety; branch, tag, workflow, and approval protections remain required.

135.5. Filesystem output

`overwrite=False` is the shortest path. Path publication continues to use unpredictable same-directory temporary names, bounded relative media paths, digest-bound manifests, and manifest-last commit. The wrapper does not pre-check existence and then open files itself, which would introduce a race. It passes the decision to the engine and returns the engine report.

Callers remain responsible for choosing an output directory whose permissions, quotas, symlink policy, and trust boundary match their application. The library does not claim that atomic rename is a sandbox. Service documentation should recommend a per-job directory with restrictive permissions.

135.6. In-process execution

The bridge runs in the Python process with that process’s authority. A memory safety defect or invariant panic can terminate or compromise the host. The native engine’s ReleaseSafe, bounded, non-recursive, and adversarial-test requirements therefore apply unchanged. The docs state that process isolation is an application architecture choice for higher-risk workloads; the first release does not imply a sandbox because the API looks high level.

136. Operational Considerations

The runtime library has no daemon, cache directory, configuration file, telemetry, updater, network request, or background thread. Installing a wheel adds the Python package and one bridge; uninstalling it removes both.

Cold first use pays shared-library loading and capability validation once per process. Later calls reuse the loaded handle and immutable capability models. Conversions remain independent. Benchmarks record import time, first-call overhead, steady-state overhead, artifact throughput, and peak memory for path and memory modes. Targets must be recorded in benchmark result files before being quoted as promises.

Logging belongs to the application. The library prints nothing to stdout or stderr and does not configure Python logging. Applications may render returned reports into their own logs, UI, HTTP response, or telemetry with stable codes.

Support reports should include Python version, platform tag, zenfmt version, native version/ABI when loadable, source and output format ids, and report codes. They should not require users to attach private documents or manifests containing document metadata. The exception renderer excludes absolute native library build paths and developer-machine details.

ABI major changes require a coordinated Python/native major release. ABI minor changes are append-only and capability-gated. The loader error for mixed files is intentional; silently continuing would turn packaging corruption into data corruption.

137. Delivery Plan

137.1. Phase 1: native contract

- Add and enforce `max_output_bytes` at the shared artifact sink for every output mode, with the `core.output-too-large` report and matching reference chapter rows.
- Add memory artifact/resource publication to the engine, with the memory output variant, result ensemble, and selected-format ids.
- Add the bridge-facing report serializer option carrying `exit_class` and the writer text/binary emission declaration.
- Plumb the canonical `build.zig.zon` version, bumped to `0.1.0`, into the build graph, CLI, and bridge.
- Specify the private ABI schema and symbol contract in the bridge directory.
- Build the bridge through a root Zig step.
- Add native ABI, ownership, adversarial, and memory/path parity tests.

137.2. Phase 2: Python surface

- Add project metadata, uv lock, source layout, and public typed models.
- Implement validation, capability discovery, conversion, results, and exceptions in the order presented here.
- Implement the shared Elm-style exception renderer and Python diagnostic catalog before adding individual failure sites.
- Add Ruff and pytest configuration and fold them into root checks.
- Write the quickstart and API reference alongside tests of their examples.

137.3. Phase 3: packaging and benchmark

- Add the Hatchling metadata/build adapter that delegates to root Zig steps.
- Produce and inspect a host wheel and standalone source distribution.
- Add the required cross-platform wheel matrix and clean-install tests.
- Establish version parity and reproducibility comparisons.
- Extend the existing benchmark harness with the installed-wheel worker, equivalent in-process Zig memory baseline, parity checks, result schemas, and generated report section specified above.
- Extend the benchmark harness's tool set and the book's benchmark chapter with the wheel row and the **Python wheel API** section.
- Record a full ReleaseSafe corpus run from the clean-installed wheel and review cold, warm, path, error, memory, and concurrency results before publication.

137.4. Phase 4: publication

- Reserve the PyPI project and configure the protected publication environment; use a project-scoped bootstrap token until its trusted publisher is active.
- Add the wheel-matrix and tag-driven release workflows.
- Rehearse a release candidate on TestPyPI when that publisher is configured.
- Generate attestations, publish the complete 0.1.0 matrix, and run post-publish install tests against PyPI.
- Attach the complete wheel, sdist, CLI, and checksum set to the immutable v0.1.0 GitHub release.
- Move this record through accepted, published, and committed states only as the corresponding lifecycle conditions are met.

138. Alternatives Considered

138.1. Shelling out to the CLI

Rejected as the primary backend. It offers crash isolation and may remain a documented application choice, but it adds process startup, executable lookup, pipe/temp-file complexity, media handling, and duplicated option/error contracts. A high-quality local Python library should not make users parse its own CLI.

138.2. A CPython extension using the limited API

Rejected for the first release. An abi3 extension could produce efficient objects directly, but it makes Python reference ownership, exception state, interpreter lifecycle, and C API compatibility part of the native engine boundary. The conversion call is coarse enough that ctypes marshalling is not the performance center. The C ABI can later receive a separate CPython adapter without changing the public Python API.

138.3. cffi

Rejected because it adds a runtime or build dependency without solving a problem the narrow fixed C ABI needs. ctypes is in the supported Python standard library, supports explicit prototypes, loads ordinary shared libraries, and releases the GIL for the chosen calling convention.

138.4. A pure-Python reimplementation

Rejected. It would duplicate nineteen parsers, writer lowering, canonical manifest behavior, diagnostics, limits, security fixes, and tests, then drift from the Zig product. The Python library is an adapter, not a second converter.

138.5. A remote service client

Rejected as the meaning of the zenfmt package. A hosted service has authentication, retries, billing, privacy, availability, and protocol versioning concerns absent from this local library. A future service client should use a distinct package or namespace and its own design record.

138.6. The uv build backend

Not selected because it supports pure-Python projects, while these wheels contain a platform native library and require a build hook plus platform tag control. uv still owns project management and invokes Hatchling through the standard PEP 517 frontend.

138.7. The repository root as the uv project root

Rejected. An earlier revision of this record placed pyproject.toml, uv.lock, and the packaging hook at the repository root on the theory that a source distribution could not otherwise contain

the Zig engine without vendoring it. That made the whole monorepo double as the Python project: Python tooling configuration polluted a Zig-first root, and publishing language surfaces separately became awkward as each new surface would compete for the same root. The objection to the isolated layout was wrong in fact — Hatchling’s sdist force-include maps repository sources into the archive at build time (nested under engine/), so a self-contained python/ project still produces a standalone sdist with no second committed source tree. The adopted layout keeps the root purely Zig, zig build in charge of orchestration, and the Python project publishable on its own.

138.8. Wheel-only publication

Rejected as the steady-state release. Wheels give the intended install experience, but a buildable source distribution is important for inspection, archival, unsupported environments, and downstream packagers. The sdist must be genuinely standalone; publishing a knowingly broken sdist would be worse than delaying it.

138.9. Returning status instead of raising

Rejected at the Python surface. The Zig API returns a status because Zig error unions cannot carry its full diagnostic result conveniently. Python exceptions naturally carry typed attributes and compose with application control flow. Successful warnings remain ordinary result data, so exceptions do not erase loss information.

138.10. Mutable global configuration

Rejected. Stripe-like global settings can be convenient for a network client, but conversion policy in a threaded document service must be explicit and test-isolated. Immutable Converter values provide reusable defaults without ambient state.

139. Open Questions

No semantic or API question is intentionally left open. Two external facts gate the release phase rather than this record’s lifecycle: that the zenfmt PyPI project can be placed under project control, and that continuous integration capacity exists — including an arm64 Linux runner with a musl container — to test every required wheel target. If either fact is false, the distribution name or initial support matrix must be amended explicitly rather than weakened silently during implementation.

140. Acknowledgements

Vikrant Rathore authored this implementation specification with assistance from Ronak Rathore.

141. References

- ZDS 0001, **The Zen Discussion Process**.
- ZDS 0002, **zenfmt: Architecture and Implementation**.
- ZDS 0013, **Layered Document IR and Writer Lowering**.
- uv project management.
- uv distribution builds.
- uv package build and publication guide.
- uv build-backend scope.
- Hatch custom build hooks.
- Python platform compatibility tags.
- Python project metadata specification.
- Python ctypes documentation.

- Ruff configuration.
- pytest integration practices.
- PyPI Trusted Publishing.
- PyPI digital attestations.